22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Ford Greene
California State Bar No. 107601
HUB LAW OFFICES
711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard
San Anselmo, California 94960-1949
Telephone: 415.258.0360
Telecopier: 415.456.5318

Attorney for Defendants GERALD ARMSTRONG and THE GERALD ARMSTRONG CORPORATION

FILED

AUG 1 5 1994

HOWARD HANSON
MARIN COUNTY CLERK

By J Steele Deputy
RECEIVED

AUG 1 5 1994

HUB LAW OFFICES

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL,)
a California not-for-profit
religious corporation,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

GERALD ARMSTRONG; MICHAEL WALTON;
THE GERALD ARMSTRONG CORPORATION
a California for-profit
corporation; DOES 1 through 100,
inclusive,

Defendants.

No. 157 680

AMENDED
SEPARATE STATEMENT OF
UNDISPUTED MATERIAL
FACTS IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANT ARMSTRONG'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT

Date: September 9, 1994 Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept: One

Trial Date: 9/29/94

Defendant Gerald Armstrong submits the following amended undisputed material facts ½/ with reference to supporting evidence pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 437c (b). By reason of these facts, every essential element of defendant's defense to plaintiff's case pursuant to the First Amendment is established. Defendant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

This amended separate statement is submitted in the place of the initial separate statement that was filed herein on August 12, 1994, in order to correct certain errors in the citations.

UNDISPUTED FACT

EVIDENTIARY SUPPORT

ISSUE NO. 1: In its first, second and third causes of action for fraudulent conveyance and conspiracy to engage in the same, Scientology is unable to prove the element that when Armstrong divested himself of his assets he was rendered insolvent.

1. In its verified complaint
CSI alleges that Armstrong
entered a settlement contract
in 1986 which contained
certain "confidentiality
provisions" and "liquidated

damages" provisions.

Request for Judicial Notice,

Verified Complaint to Set

Aside Fraudulent Transfers and

for Damages; Conspiracy

("Complaint"), Exh. A at ¶ 1,

p.2:11-19.

that in February 1990

"Armstrong began to take a series of actions which directly violated the provisions of the Agreement" and, in order to protect himself against the liquidated damages provisions, without consideration he fraudulently conveyed all of his real and personal property to codefendant Walton, The Gerald Armstrong Corporation and Doe

Request for Judicial Notice,
Complaint, Exh. A at ¶ 2, p.
2:20-27.

defendants.

3. The first cause of action contends that Armstrong was an owner of real property situated at 707 Fawn Drive, San Anselmo and that on August 24, 1990 Armstrong's transfer of the property was made with the actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud its collection of damages.

Request for Judicial Notice,
Complaint, Exh. A at ¶ 29, p.
9:9-12.

4. CSI alleges that at the time he made such transfer Armstrong intended in the future to engage in conduct breaching the contract, that he would become subject to damages in consequence of said breaches, "and for which he would have rendered himself judgment-proof."

Request for Judicial Notice, Complaint, Exh. A at ¶30, p.9:13-18.

5. The complaint alleges
that Armstrong did not receive
reasonably equivalent value in
exchange for the transfer of

Request for Judicial Notice, Complaint, Exh. A at ¶31, p. 9:24-26.

his interest in the real property.

6. The second cause of action contends that Armstrong transferred \$41,500.00 in cash and \$1,000,000 in stock in The Gerald Armstrong Corporation with the intend to defraud CSI and without receiving reasonably equivalent value in exchange for his transfer of said assets.

Request for Judicial Notice,

Complaint, Ex. A at ¶¶ 34-39,

p.10:18 - 12:2

7. After Armstrong divested himself of his assets he was not insolvent because his debts did not exceed his assets.

Evidence, Exh. 1, Declaration of Gerald Armstrong at ¶ 7, p. 6:20-22.

1	ISSUE NO. 2: Scientology is unab	le to prove the first, second
2	and third causes of action because	it is constitutionally
3	prohibited from litigating the tru	th or validity of Armstrong's
4	protected religious belief that Go	d directed him to divest himself
5	of his material possessions.	
6		
7	8. Gerald Armstrong	Evidence, Exh. 1(A),
8	-("Armstrong") is a religious	Declaration of Nancy Rodes;
9	figure.	Exh. 1(B), Certificate of
10		Saint.
11		
12	9. In 1986 Armstrong founded	Evidence, Exh. 1(C),
13	a church.	Armstrong's deposition taken
14	_ =1	in the case of Scientology v.
15		Joseph Yanny, Los Angeles
16		Superior Court No. BC 033035
17		("Yanny II") at 324:24 -
18		325:1.
19		
20	10. Armstrong's church has a	Evidence, Exh. 1(C),
21	"belief," a "corollary" and	Armstrong's deposition in
22	the "obvious."	Yanny II at 320:15.
23		
24	11. The belief of Armstrong's	Evidence, Exh. 1(C),
25	church is that when members of	Armstrong's deposition in
26	the church are together God is	Yanny II, at 320:20 - 321:9.
27	present; the corollary is that	
28	whatever is said or done when	
	II .	

members of the church are 1 2 together is sacred; the 3 obvious is that it has always been so, is now and forever 4 5 will be. 6 7 12. In March, 1992 Evidence, Exh. 1(C), Armstrong's church had 30 Armstrong's deposition in 8 members. Yanny II, at 318:13. 9 10 11 In August, 1990, as a Evidence, Exh. 1(D), 12 consequence of his prayer to Declaration of Armstrong, at ¶ 13 God for guidance in his 15, p.15:6 - 15:7, ¶ 24, distress at the time of the 14 p.29:13 - 29:22, ¶ 33, p. military buildup in the Middle 15 42:13-17, ¶ 58, p.74:18 -16 East following Irag's 74:23; Exh. 1(E), Armstrong's 17 conquering of Kuwait, prayer to God and His answer; 18 Armstrong was told by Him to Exh. 1(F) Armstrong's 19 give away his worldly wealth. deposition in Scientology v. 20 Armstrong, Los Angeles 21 Superior Court No. BC 052395 22 ("Armstrong II"), at 268:4 -268:15; Exh. 1(G), Deposition 23 24 of Michael Walton ("Walton") 25 in Armstrong II, at 40:1 -26 40:13; Exh. 1(H), Armstrong's 27 deposition herein, at 74:9 -

HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415) 258-0360

28

75:17, 77:18 -24, 78:17 -

1 79:9; Exh. 1(I), Walton's 2 deposition herein, at 29:3 -3 30:10. 4 5 14. As a result of God's Evidence, Exh. 1(D), 6 Answer, in August, 1990 Declaration of Armstrong, at ¶ 7 Armstrong transferred his 30, p.39:22 - 40:16, ¶ 33, interest in the house ("Fawn p.43:2-3; Exh. 1(F), 9 house") he lived in to the co-Armstrong's deposition in owner Walton, released to Armstrong II, at 268:2 268:20 10 Walton his control of funds - 269:11; Exh. 1(G), Walton's 11 allocated for the Fawn house, deposition in Armstrong II, at 12 39:9 - 39:25, 40:22 - 41:12; 13 and forgave a debt owed him. 14 Exh. 1(H), Armstrong's 15 deposition herein, at 75:17 -75:25, 79:18 - 82:25; Exh. 16 17 1(I), Walton's deposition herein, at 19:5 - 19:17, 27:2 18 19 -28:2, 30:11 - 32:1. 20 21 15. As a result of God's Evidence, Exh. 1(D), Declaration of Armstrong, at ¶ 22 Answer, in August, 1990 Armstrong transferred to his 33, p.43:3 - 43:5; Exh. 1(H), 23 Armstrong's deposition herein 24 friends Lorien Phippeny, dated March 17, 1994, at 76:1 25 Michael Douglas, Nancy Rodes, and Walton his stock in The - 76:14, 84:23 - 85:3, 86:1 **-**26 27 Gerald Armstrong Corporation 86:11, 90:12 - 90:18, 91:8 -

28

("TGAC").

91:12.

16. As a result of God's
Answer, in August, 1990
Armstrong forgave all debts
owed to him.

Evidence, Exh. 1(D), Declaration of Armstrong, at ¶ 33, p.43:6, ¶ 58, p. 74:19 -74:21; Exh. 1(H), Armstrong's deposition herein, at 25:20 -33:5, 39:16 - 40:14, 86:24 -87:19; Exh. 1(J), Letter from Armstrong to Andrew Armstrong; Exh. 1(K), Letter from Armstrong to Lorrie Eaton; Exh. 1(L), Letter from Armstrong to Jerry Solfvin; Exh. 1(M), Letter from Armstrong to Bruce, Tricia and Anne-Leigh (Dawson Family); Exh. 1(N), Letter from Armstrong to Michael and Kima Douglas ("Douglases"); Exh. 1(0), Letter from Douglases to Armstrong; Exh. 1(P), Promissory Note from Douglases to Armstrong; Exh. 1(Q), Promissory Note from Douglases to Armstrong; Exh. 1(R), Promissory Note from Douglases to Armstrong; Exh. 1(S) Note from Douglases to Armstrong.

-		
1	17. Armstrong's giving away	Evidence, Exh. 1(D),
2	of his wordly wealth comports	Declaration of Armstrong, at \P
3	with the words of Christ found	25, p. 30:1 - 32:9.
4	in the Christian Bible.	
5		
6	18. Christ promises in the	Request for Judicial Notice,
7	Bible "treasure in heaven" and	Gospel According to St.
8	"everlasting life" for the	Matthew, Chapter 19, Exh. B,
9	reliquishment of wordly	at verses 16 - 30.
10	wealth, the forsaking of	
11	houses.	
12		
13	19. Armstrong's reliquishment	Evidence, Exh. 1(D),
14	of wordly wealth has led to	Declaration of Armstrong, at ¶
15	his gaining of Christ's	15, p.16:2 - 16:10.
16	promises.	
17		
18	20. The value of treasure in	Evidence, Exh. 1(D),
19	heaven and everlasting life is	Declaration of Armstrong, at ¶
20	greater than the value of	15, p.16:2 - 16:10, ¶ 24,
21	Armstrong's interest in the	p.29:22 - 29:26, ¶ 25, p.30:5
22	Fawn house, the Fawn house	- 30:8, ¶ 28, p.38:15 - 38:21,
23	monies, TGAC stock, and all	¶ 30, p. 40:23 - 41:1.
24	debts owed to him.	
25		
26	21. It was never Armstrong's	Evidence, Exh. 1(D),
27	intention to transfer his	Declaration of Armstrong, at \P
28	assets for the purpose of	15, p.13:22 - 13:23, 14:17 -
FFICES		

1	rendering himself "judgment	15:10.
2	proof" so as to avoid his	
3	legal responsibilities.	
4		
5		
6	22. Armstrong had no	Evidence, Exh. 1, Declaration
7	agreement, secret or	of Armstrong at ¶ 7, p. 6:23-
8	otherwise, with any of the	28.
9	beneficiaries of his gifts of	
10	his assets or his forgiving of	
11	debts owed to him in August,	
12	1990 whereby any of said	
13	beneficiaries are holding such	
14	assets or amounts owed in	
15	trust for him, or otherwise	
16	have an intent to return such	
17	assets or amounts owed to him.	
18		
19	23. In August, 1990,	Evidence, Exh. 1, Declaration
20	Armstrong had no intent to	of Armstrong at \P 9, p. 7:1-3.
21	violate the settlement	
22	contract and no intent to	
23	deprive Scientology of its	
24	ability to collect damages	
25	owed to it.	
26		
27	24. In August, 1990,	Evidence, Exh. 1, Declaration
28	Armstrong had not engaged in	of Armstrong at ¶ 10, p. 7:4-
	II.	

1 any conduct that could 11; Exh. 1(T), Order of the 2 possibly be construed as Court of Appeal permitting 3 having violated the settlement Armstrong to respond 4 contract, with the exception 5 of requesting permission from 6 the Court of Appeal to 7 participate in the litigation of his own appeal, which paragraph 4 of the settlement 9 10 contract prohibited him from 11 doing. In support of his request for permission to so 12 participate in his appeal he 13 14 submitted the settlement contract under seal. 15 16 17 ISSUE NO. 3: Scientology cannot overcome Armstrong's first affirmative defense based on the religious liberty clauses of the 18 19 state and federal constitutions. 20 21 25. Armstrong's First Request for Judicial Notice, Affirmative Defense in his 22 Exh. C., Verified Answer of 23 Verified Answer states: Gerald Armstrong at ¶47, "Plaintiff is barred from 24 p.9:10. 25 bringing this action against 26 Armstrong on the grounds that the complaint and the 27 "agreement" on which it is 28

- 11		
1	based seek to attack, limit	
2	and deny Armstrong's right to	
3	freedom of religion guaranteed	
4	by the state and federal	
5	constitutions."	
6		
7	26. Plaintiff herein, Church	Request for Judicial Notice,
8	of Scientology International	Complaint, Exh. A at p. 3:14-
9	("CSI") is a non-profit	18, ¶ 3.
10	religious corporation.	
11		
12	27. CSI's management policies	Evidence, Exh. 1(U),
13	and directives are	Deposition herein of Lynn
14	"scripture."	Farny ("Farny"), Secretary and
15		representative of CSI, at
16		144:17-145:5, 146:6-13, 147:10
17		-14, 148:6-13.
18		
19	28. CSI's "scriptures" direct	Evidence, Exh. 1(U),
20	that its "scriptures" must be	Deposition of Farny at 147:10-
21	followed.	24; 208:9-209:17; 210:19-
22		212:15; 213:11-214:8; 224:8-
23	*	225:12; Exh. 1(V) Hubbard
24		Communications Policy Letter
25		"Verbal Tech: Penalties;" Exh.
26		1(W) Hubbard Communications
27		Policy Letter "Policy: Source
28		Of;" Exh. 1(X) Hubbard

1 Communications Policy Letter 2 "Seniority of Orders;" Exh. 3 1(Y) Hubbard Communications 4 Policy Letter "Policy and 5 Orders" 6 7 29. In CSI's "scriptures," Evidence, Exh. 1(U), 8 "Suppressive Persons" or Deposition of Farny at 244:1-"Suppressive Groups" are 9 5, 251:12-252:7; Exh. 1(Z) defined as follows: "A 10 Hubbard Communications Policy 11 SUPPRESSIVE PERSON or GROUP is Letter "Suppressive Acts -12 one that actively seeks to Suppresion of Scientology and 13 suuppress or damage Scientologists" at p. 1. 14 Scientology or a Scientologist 15 by suppressive acts." 16 17 Evidence, Exh. 1(U), CSI declared Armstrong a 18 "Suppressive Person" in 1982 Deposition of Farny at 250:24-19 and has considered him a 251:7; Exh. 1(AA), Flag "Suppressive Person" ever 20 Conditions Order 6664; Exh. 21 since. 1(BB), Flag Conditions Order 22 6664R; Exh. 1(CC) Flag Executive Directive 2830RB 23 24 "Suppressive Persons and 25 Suppressive Groups List," at 26 p. 4, column 3. 27

HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415) 258-0360 In CSI's "scriptures,"

Evidence, Exh. 1(U),

- 1		
1	"Squirrels" are people with	Deposition of Farny at 301:11-
2	the "ecclesiastical" status of	302:1.
3	engaging in actions "that were	
4	destructive and aimed at the	
5	enslavement rather than the	
6	freedom of man."	
7		
8	32. CSI has considered	Evidence, Exh. 1(U),
9	Armstrong a "Squirrel" since	Deposition of Farny at 300:21-
10	1984.	301:3, 302:2-302:22; Exh.
11		1(DD), Office of Special
12		Affairs International
13		Executive Directive No. 19
14		"Squirrels."
15		
16	33. Included in the	Evidence, Exh. 1, Declaration
17	"scriptures" of CSI is the	of Armstrong at ¶ 11, p. 7:14;
18	concept of "Fair Game."	Exh. 1(EE), Hubbard
19		Communications Policy Letter
20		"Penalties for Lower
21		Conditions."
22		
23	34. The Fair Game policy in	Evidence, Exh. 1(EE), Hubbard
24	CSI's "scriptures" states:	Communications Policy Letter
25	"ENEMY - SP (Suppressive	"Penalties for Lower
26	Person) Order. Fair Game. May	Conditions."
27	be deprived of property or	

injured by any means by any

- 1		
1	Scientlogist without any	
2	discipline of the	
3	Scientologist. May be	
4	tricked, sued or lied to or	
5	destroyed."	
6		
7	35. Included in CSI's	Evidence, Exh. 1, Declaration
8	"scriptures" is a policy by	of Armstrong at ¶ p. 7:16,
9	Hubbard which purports to	Exh. 1(FF), Hubbard
10	cancel "Fair Game."	Communications Policy Letter
11		"Cancellation of Fair Game."
12		
13	36. The "cancellation" of	Evidence, Exh. 1, Declaration
14	Fair Game is of the name only,	of Armstrong at ¶ 11, p. 7:18
15	and does not affect the way	
16	SPs are to be treated.	
17		
18	37. Fair Game has been	Request for Judicial Notice,
19	judicially recognized as a	opinion Allard v. Church of
20	practice of Scientology since	Scientology, (1976) 58 C.A.3d
21	1976.	439, 129 Cal.Rptr.797, Exh. D
22		at 443; Wollersheim v. Church
23		of Scientology, (1989) 212
24		Cal.App.3d 872, 260
25		Cal.Rptr.331, Exh. E, at 335,
26		336, 341, 342, 345, 346, 347.
27		

HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415) 258-0360

Page 15.

38. Fair Game has been

Request for Judicial Notice,

judicially recognized as a practice of Scientology toward Armstrong from 1984 through 1991.

Memorandum of Intended

Decision filed June 22, 1984

in Church of Scientology v.

Gerald Armstrong, Los Angeles

Superior Court No. C 420153,

Exh. F at 8:18-21, Appendix at

13:15-22; opinion Church of

Scientology v. Gerald

Armstrong (1991) 232

Cal.App.3d 1060, 283

Cal.Rptr.917, Exh. G at 920.

39. In CSI's "scriptures,"
"Black Propaganda" is defined
as follows:

"The world is full of madmen.

The basic characteristic of extreme madness is pepetual attack, attacks on anything, attacks on persons or things which contain no menace.

Extreme, not petty, crime is at the root of such an impulse.

The attacker has an evil purpose in life. He is a

Evidence, Exh. 1(U),

Deposition of Farny at 448:1024; Hubbard Communications

Policy Letter "How to Handle

Black Propaganda - Rumors and

Whispering Campaigns," Exh.

1(GG); Hubbard Communications

Policy Letter "Black PR," Exh.

1(HH).

thing of death, not life. And his harvest is a death harvest.

Such a person feels he cannot be safe unless everything else is dead.

His evil purpose takes many forms and expressions.

The end product is the samedeath... Where an attacker lacks the physical means of destroying others and where his own purpose would fail if disclosed, the attacks become covert.

He uses word of mouth,

press media, any communication

channel to spit his venom. He

hides himself as the source,

he makes the verbal attack

seem logical or real or

proven.

He counts on the utterances being picked up or distorted and pased on by the more base people in the society.

This is Black Propaganda.

11		
1	It is intended to reduce a	
2	real or imagined enemy, hurt	
3	his income and deny him	
4	friends and support	
5	Black Propaganda is	
6	essentially a fabric of lies.	
7		
8	40. According to CSI,	Evidence, Exh. 1(U),
9	Armstrong has engaged in	Deposition of Farny at 448:25-
10	"Black Propaganda" against	449:5
11	Scientology.	
12		
13	41. According to CSI,	Evidence, Exh. 1(U),
14	Armstrong has engaged in	Deposition of Farny at 449:13-
15	"Black Propaganda" against	450:8.
16	Scientology when he testified	
17	in deposition pursuant to	
18	subpoena.	
19		
20		
21	42. According to CSI,	Evidence, Exh. 1(U),
22	Armstrong's testimony in	Deposition of Farny at 334:20-
23	litigation is "made up"	335:15, 385:24-386:5
24	"schtick," his "declarations	
25	are phony and contain	
26	lies," and he lied in	
27	testimony about Scientology	
28	and its founder.	

Included in the 1 43. 2 "scriptures" of CSI is an 3 article by Hubbard entitled "Dissemination of Material" 4 5 which is published in a 6 booklet entitled "Magazine 7 Articles on Level O Checksheet." -8

Evidence, Exh. 1, Declaration of Armstrong at ¶ 12. p. 7:22; article "Dissemination of Material" from "Magazine Articles on Level O Checksheet," Exh. 1(II).

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

44. In "Dissemination of Materials" Hubbard directs his organization personnel as follows:

Article "Dissemination of Material," Exh. 1(II) at pp.54, 55.

"The DEFENSE of anything in UNTENABLE. The only way to defend anything is to ATTACK, and if you ever forget that, then you will lose every battle you are ever engaged in, whether it is in terms of personal conversation, public debate, or a court of law.

NEVER BE INTERESTED IN CHARGES. DO, yourself, much MORE CHARGING and you will WIN. And the public, seeing

that you won, will then have a

communication line to the

- 11		
1	effect that Scientologists	
2	WIN. Don't ever let them have	
3	any other thought than that	
4	Scientology takes all its	
5	objectives."	
6	••••	
7	"The law can be used very	
8	easily to harass, and enough	
9	harassment on somebody who is	
10	simply on the thin edge	
11	anyway, well knowing that he	
12	is not authorized, will	
13	generally be sufficient to	
14	cause his professional	
15	decease. If possible, of	
16	course, ruin him utterly."	
17		
18	45. CSI personnel in its	Evidence, Exh. 1(U),
19	"Legal Bureau."	Deposition of Farny at 7:16-
20		9:8, 179:12-16.
21		
22	46. CSI considers the	Evidence, Exh. 1(U),
23	personnel in its Legal Bureau	Deposition of Farny at 141:3-
24	are performing "eccliastical"	142:22, 182:7- 21, 183:19-
25	duties.	184:23
26		
27	47. The "ecclesiastical"	Evidence, Exh. 1(U),
28	duties in CSI's Legal Bureau	Deposition of Farny at 184:19-

HUB LAW OFFICES
Ford Greene, Esquire
711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd.
San Anselmo, CA 94960
(415) 258-0360

Page 20.

-	<u> </u>	
1	include dealing with all the	23, 245:20-23.
2	litigation involving	
3	Armstrong.	
4		
5	48. Testifying falsely or	Evidence, Exh. 1(U),
6	giving data against	Deposition of Farny at 256:9-
7	Scientology falsely or in	17.
8	generalities or without	
9	personal knowledge of the	
10	matters to which one testifies	
11	is considered a "suppressive	
12	act" in Scientology.	
13		
14	49. Public statements against	Evidence, Exh. 1(U),
15	Scientology or Scientologists	Deposition of Farny at 261:4-
16	but not to Committees of	11.
17	Evidence duly convened are	
18	considered "suppressive acts"	
19	in Scientology.	
20		
21	50. Testifying falsely or	Evidence, Exh. 1(U),
22	giving data against	Deposition of Farny at 261:12-
23	Scientology falsely or in	25.
24	generalities or without	
25	personal knowledge of the	
26	matters to which one	
27	testifies, and public	
28	statements against Scientology	
	II .	

1 or Scientologists but not to 2 Committees of Evidence duly 3 convened are considered by CSI 4 to be the "exclusive purview 5 of the eccliastical authority to adjudicate. 6 7 8 51. CSI seeks in its 9 litigation against Armstrong to prevent him from testifying 10 against Scientology, and from 11 12 making public statements against Scientology or 13 Scientologists, and seeks to 14 15 have the Courts punish him for 16 so doing. DATED: 17 August 15, 1994 18

Request for Judicial Notice, Verified Second Amended Complaint in Armstrong II, Exh. H

HUB LAW OFFICES

FORD GREENE

Attorney for Defendant

HUR LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415) 258-0360

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2 3

4

5

6 7 documents:

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

[X]

DATED:

24

25 26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Marin, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the above entitled action. My business address is 711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard, San Anselmo, California. I served the following

> AMENDED SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT ARMSTRONG'S MOTION

FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE,

SUMMARY ADJUDICATION OF ISSUES

on the following person(s) on the date set forth below, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States Mail at San Anselmo, California:

Andrew Wilson, Esquire WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO 235 Montgomery Street, Suite 450 San Francisco, California 94104

MICHAEL WALTON, ESO. 707 Fawn Drive San Anselmo, CA 94960

LAURIE J. BARTILSON, ESQ. Bowles & Moxon 6255 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 2000

Los Angeles, California 90028 [X](By Mail)

[X](Personal)

(State)

is true and correct. August 15, 1994

BY FAX AND MAIL

BY FAX AND MAIL

I caused such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States Mail at San Anselmo, California.

I caused said papers to be personally service on the office of opposing counsel.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above